

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/757,049	Applicant(s) BERNSTEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner David A. Lambertson	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

(1) David A. Lambertson, Ph.D..

(2) Carol Gruppi.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 January 2004

Time: 12 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

59,60,82-97

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney/agent was contacted regarding approval for the attached Examiner's amendment. For the sole purpose of furthering prosecution of the application to allowance and without acquiescing the correctness of the Examiner's position that claims 59, 60 and 82-97 required a positive process step recapitulating the preamble of the claim, and that certain language in claims 59, and 82-89 was indefinite, approval was granted for the attached Examiner's amendment.